WEB COPY

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 02-11-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE P.B. BALAJI

WP(IPD) No. 29 of 2026

CNR: {HCMA011802672026}

Case QR

Wipro Enterprises Private Limited,
Having Office at
Wipro House,
No.8,7th Main, 80 Feet Road,
Koramangala 1st Block,
Bangalore- 560 034
Karnataka
And Also at
S-15, Industrial Estate,
Guindy, Chennai- 600 032
Represented by Ms.Dhivya Menon,
Senior Manger- Legal.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

The Deputy Registrar Of Trademarks
Office of Trademark Registry,
IP Office Building, G.S.T. Road,
Guindy, Chennai- 032.

..Respondent(s)

Prayer: Writ Petition (IPD) filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying to issue a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the Respondent to dispose of the TM-P and TM -M filed in respect of Assignment Deeds.

1.Brahmins Foods India Private Limited to Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (61 Trademarks).

2.V.Sreenath to Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (6 Trademarks).

3.Srinath.V to the Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (4 Trademarks).

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis (Uploaded on: 13/11/2026 03:02:58 pm)

WEB COPY

4.Sreenath V to the Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (10 Trademarks).

5.Brahmins Marketing to the Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (1 Trade Mark).

6.Bhagya Food Industries to the Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (1 Trademarks).

7.Brahmins enterprises to the Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (2 Trademarks), filed under receipt Nos. 3682604, 3682614, 3682614, 3682621, 3682627, 3682663,3682954,3682961, 3684456, 3684481, 3684482, 3884460, 3884470,3684477, 3684476 and 3684472 in accordance with law within a period of four weeks.

For Petitioner(s): M/s.Gladys Daniel

For Respondent(s): M/s.K.Thirukkumaran
Central Government Standing Counsel

ORDER

This Writ Petition has been filed for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, to direct the respondent to dispose of TM-P and TM-M filed by the petitioner with respect to following assignment deals, viz., 1.Brahmins Foods India Private Limited to Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (61 Trademarks), 2.V.Sreenath to Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (6 Trademarks), 3.Srinath.V to the Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (4 Trademarks), 4.Sreenath V to the Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (10 Trademarks), 5.Brahmins Marketing to the Wipro

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis (Uploaded on: 13/11/2026 03:02:58 pm)

WEB COPY

Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (1 Trade Mark), 6.Bhagya Food Industries to the Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (1 Trademarks) and Brahmins enterprises to the Wipro Enterprises Pvt. Limited dated 12.05.2023 (2 Trademarks), filed under Receipt Nos.3682604, 3682614, 3682614, 3682621, 3682627, 3682663,3682954,3682961, 3684456, 3684481, 3684482, 3884460, 3884470,3684477, 3684476 and 3684472, in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks.

2.Heard Mrs.Gladys Daniel, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr.K.Thirukkumaran, learned Central Government Standing Counsel for the respondent.

3.The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the writ petitioner is one of the most reputed business houses in the country and has expanded its business across diverse sectors, not limited to information technology, consulting, business process services, cloud computing, software and hardware solutions, consumer products, healthcare, etc. Under a scheme of arrangement with Azim Premji Custodial Services Private Limited and Wipro Trademarks Holding Limited, which was approved by the High Court of Karnataka by order dated 01.03.2013. The business of Azim Premji Custodial Services Private Limited was renamed as Wipro Enterprises Limited. In the course of business, according to the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner has expanded

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis (Uploaded on: 13/11/2026 03:02:58 pm)

Order QR
WEB COPY

its business and trademark portfolio consolidation and in and by various assignment deeds, referred herein supra, a total of 85 trademarks stood assigned in favour of the petitioner. She further states that necessary applications for recording the name of the petitioner were made to the respondent on 14.06.2023 and 15.06.2023.

4.The grievance of the petitioner is that despite passage of more than almost 3 ½ years, no action has been taken at the end of the respondent. She further contends that there is absolutely no impediment for the respondent to record the name of the petitioner, in the light of the assignment deeds that have been produced and relied on by the petitioner and also in view of the fact that there has been no objection whatsoever to the said name of the petitioner being recorded in the register of the respondent. She therefore prays for the writ petition being allowed.

5.Per contra, the learned Central Government Standing Counsel for the respondent states that the respondent will have to serve notice on the original registered proprietor as contemplated under the Trademark Rules, 2017, and only thereafter, if in the event of there being no objection, the petitioner's application can be favourably considered and processed. As on date, there is nothing on record to indicate that the sent notice as required under the Rules has been issued by the respondent. In fact, in a similar matter, which was also filed

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis (Uploaded on: 13/11/2026 03:02:58 pm)

WEB COPY

by the present writ petitioner in WP(IPD).No.24 of 2026, I passed an order on 07.10.2026, directing the respondent to pass final orders on the petitioner's application to be recognized as a registered proprietor of the trademark, within a period of four weeks. However, in the facts of that case, it was brought to my notice that the notice required to be served on the original registered proprietor under the Trademark Rules, 2017, had been sent only on 07.08.2026 and further steps could be taken, since 30 day period had already lapsed, from the date of service of notice on the original registered proprietor.

6.In the light of the above, this Writ Petition is allowed, with a direction to the respondent to pass final orders on the petitioner's application to record its name in the register of the respondent, within a period of eight weeks, from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. In the event o the mandatory statutory notice under the Trademark Rules, 2017, not having been issued till date, the respondent shall immediately have such notice issued and after the expiry of the period of 30 days, within the stipulated period of eight weeks, the respondent shall effect necessary recording the name of the petitioner, as prayed for in this writ petition. No costs.

02-11-2026

Index: Yes/No
Speaking/Non-speaking order
Neutral Citation: Yes/No
ATA

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis (Uploaded on: 13/11/2026 03:02:58 pm)

WEB COPY

P.B.BALAJI, J.

ATA

To
The Deputy Registrar Of Trademarks
Office of Trademark Registry,
IP Office Building, G.S.T. Road,
Guindy,
Chennai- 032.

WP(IPD) No. 29 of 2026

02-11-2026

https://www.mhc.tn.gov.in/judis (Uploaded on: 13/11/2026 03:02:58 pm)