$~O-30

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

\# **CNR No. DLHC010536552026**

\+ CS(COMM) 1203/2026, I.A. 30754/2026, I.A. 30755/2026, I.A. 30756/2026 & I.A. 30758/2026

TITAN COMPANY LIMITED .....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Pravin Anand, Mr. Dhruv Anand, Mr. Rohil Bansal & Mr. Chirag, Advs.

versus

JOHN DOE & ORS. .....Defendants

Through: Ms. Swati Agarwal, Mr. Vaarish Sawloni, Ms. Saumya Panwar & Mr. Satyaveer Singh, Advs. for D-2.
Mr. Varun Pathak, Ms. Radhika Roy & Ms. Ashrika Mehra, Advs. for D-3

CORAM:
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV

O R D E R

% 05.11.2026

I.A. 30758/2026 (extension of time to file court fee & p.f.)

1. It is submitted that the Court fees has been paid. Let the Registry to verify the said position.

2. The application stands disposed of.

I.A. 30756/2026 (exemption)

3. Plaintiff shall file legible/original/certified and clearer copies of exempted documents, compliant with practice rules, before the next date of hearing.

4. The application for exemption is allowed, subject to the plaintiff

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/11/2026 at 22:22:08

granting inspection of the documents filed, as and when required to do so, or filing the original documents at the stage of admission/denial.

5. The application stands disposed of.

I.A. 30757/2026 (U/S-12A OF C.C. ACT seeking exemption from pre-institution mediation)

6. By way of the present application filed under section 12A of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 read with section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 ('CPC'), the plaintiff seeks exemption from attempting pre-litigation mediation.

7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the present case, and in light of the judgment of the Supreme Court in ***Yamini Manohar vs. T.K.D. Keerthi***[1]and of a Division Bench of this court in ***Chandra Kishore Chaurasia vs. R A Perfumery Works (P) Ltd.***[2], the plaintiff is exempted from attempting pre-litigation mediation.

8. The application stands disposed of.

I.A. 30755/2026 (U/O-11 R-1(4) seeking leave to file addl. documents)

9. For the reasons stated in the application, the same stands allowed.

10. The documents sought to be placed on record by way of this application, be taken on record.

11. The application stands disposed of.

CS(COMM) 1203/2026

12. The plaint be registered as a suit.

13. Issue summons.

14. Ms. Swati Agarwal and Mr. Varun Pathak, learned counsel on behalf

---

[1](2024) 5 SCC 815

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/11/2026 at 22:22:08

of the defendant nos. 2 and 3, respectively, are present. They confirm the receipt of the suit paperbook and waives the right of formal service of summons.

15. As of now, summons stands dispensed with for defendant no. 4 & 5.

16. Defendant no. 1 is John Doe.

17. Let the defendant nos. 2 and 3 to provide the Basic Subscriber Information (BSI) and IP Logs enabling the plaintiff to implead John Doe as party by name and details.

18. The BSI and IP Logs will have to be provided on the basis of the paragraph nos. 32 & 33 of the plaint. Let the exercise be carried out within three weeks from today.

19. Once the said exercise is carried out the plaintiff shall require to file appropriate application seeking impleadment of the person concerned as a party.

20. As of now, the requirement of filing the written statements stands dispensed with.

21. List before the learned Joint Registrar on 22.12.2026 for taking appropriate steps in accordance with extant rules.

22. Thereafter, list before the Court on the date to be assigned by the Joint Registrar.

I.A. 30754/2026 (u/o-39, r-1&2 seeking ad-interim injunction)

1. Also heard on the present application.

2. Mr. Pravin Anand, learned Counsel for the plaintiff, has taken the Court to the documents annexed to the plaint and contends that the plaintiff

---

[2] 2022 SCC OnLine Del 3529

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/11/2026 at 22:22:08

is the registered proprietor of trade mark ‘TANEIRA’.

3. The page nos. 68, 74, 90, 51 & 65 have been shown and, thereafter, the contents in paragraph no. 35 were also explained.

4. It is contended that the John Doe defendant is infringing the plaintiff’s registered trade mark and is causing irreparable damages and if the immediate steps are not taken to restrain the said defendants on online platforms, the plaintiff eventually may not be able to recover the damages.

5. Bearing in mind the aforesaid submissions and the facts which *prima facie* indicate that the plaintiff is the registered trade mark holder of ‘TANEIRA’ and without the plaintiff's consent and knowledge, the John Doe defendant is undertaking the commercial activities under the same trademark.

6. The defendant, therefore, will have to be immediately restrained. Accordingly, the John Doe defendant is, hereby, restrained from carrying out any commercial activities under the plaintiff trademark in any manner whatsoever till the next date of hearing.

7. The defendant nos. 2 and 3 are also directed to block the profile of John Doe defendant and suspend that WhatsApp number.

8. List on 10.12.2026.

PURUSHAINDRA KUMAR KAURAV, J

NOVEMBER 5, 2026/*da*

This is a digitally signed order.
The authenticity of the order can be re-verified from Delhi High Court Order Portal by scanning the QR code shown above.
The Order is downloaded from the DHC Server on 06/11/2026 at 22:22:08