



\$~57

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010431892026

+ **CS(COMM) 997/2026**

HERBALIFE INTERNATIONAL, INC.

.....Plaintiff

Through: Ms. Abhilasha Nautiyal and Ms.
Suvarna Singh, Advocates.

versus

ASHISH KUMAR & ORS.

....Defendants

Through: Ms. Priya Goyal and Mr. Aakash
Deep Singh, Advocates for D-7.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

%

15.09.2026

I.A.24986/2026 (Exemption)

1. Allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. Application stands disposed of.

I.A.24987/2026 (u/O XI Rule 1 (4) r/w Section 151 CPC)

3. This application is filed on behalf of the Plaintiff seeking to place on record additional documents.
4. Plaintiff, if it wishes to file additional documents at a later stage, shall do so strictly in accordance with provisions of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015.
5. Application is allowed and disposed of.

I.A. 24988/2026 (for pre-institution mediation)

6. This application is filed on behalf of the Plaintiff under Section 151 CPC read with Section 12A of the Commercial Courts Act, 2015 seeking exemption from Pre-Institution Mediation.



7. Having regard to the facts of the present case wherein urgent relief is prayed for and in light of the judgment of Supreme Court in *Yamini Manohar v. T.K.D. Keerthi*, (2024) 5 SCC 815, as also Division Bench of this Court in *Chandra Kishore Chaurasia v. RA Perfumery Works Private Ltd.*, 2022 SCC OnLine Del 3529, exemption is granted to the Plaintiff from Pre-Institution Mediation.

8. Application is allowed and disposed of.

CS(COMM) 997/2026

9. Let plaint be registered as a suit.

10. Issue summons.

11. Ms. Priya Goyal, learned counsel accepts summons on behalf of Defendant No. 7.

12. Upon filing of process fee, issue summons to the remaining Defendants through all permissible modes, returnable before the learned Joint Registrar on 09.10.2026.

13. Summons shall state that the written statements shall be filed by Defendants No. 1 to 4 within 30 days from the date of receipt of summons along with affidavits of admission/denial of the documents filed by the Plaintiff.

14. It will be open to the Plaintiff to file replications within 30 days from receipt of the written statements along with affidavits of admission/denial of documents filed by Defendants No. 1 to 4.

15. If any of the parties wish to seek inspection of any documents, the same be sought and given within the timeline prescribed in Delhi High Court (Original Side) Rules, 2018.



16. Learned Joint Registrar shall carry out admission/denial of documents and marking of exhibits.

I.A.24985/2026 (u/O XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 r/w Section 151 CPC)

17. This application is filed on behalf of the Plaintiff under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 read with Section 151 of CPC for grant of *ex parte* ad interim injunction.

18. Issue notice.

19. Ms. Priya Goyal, learned counsel accepts notice on behalf of Defendant No. 7.

20. Notice be issued to the remaining Defendants through all permissible modes, returnable before Court on 22.01.2027.

21. Case of the Plaintiff as set out in the plaint is that Plaintiff is a global health and wellness company engaged in the business of manufacturing, trading, marketing and selling science-backed nutritional and personal care products under its 'Herbalife' trademarks. The products are available exclusively to and through dedicated network of direct sellers/distributors in over 95 countries, including India. Plaintiff's business and Herbalife trademark trace their origin to 1980, when the business was founded in United States of America with the goal of changing the nutritional habits worldwide. The trademark has been used in the course of trade in USA since 1980 and over four decades, the use has spread to 95 countries.

22. It is stated that in addition to the word mark 'Herbalife', which is also used as a trading/corporate name by the Plaintiff, it is also the owner of several 'Herbalife' variant marks and logos, including 'Herbalife Nutrition'

and the logos , , , ,  and product brands such as "Shakemate", "Formula 1", "Afresh" and "Cell-



U-Loss”. Plaintiff’s Herbalife products include vitamins and supplements, nutritional shakes, teas, beverages and personal care products. In order to secure statutory protection, Plaintiff has obtained trademark registrations in India in Classes 03, 05, 29, 30, 32 and 35 and details are furnished in paragraph 17 of the plaint.

23. It is stated that in addition to Herbalife trademarks, Plaintiff is the copyright owner of the trade dress, in which its products are sold in India and consequently, Plaintiff enjoys common law rights in the trade dress. The unique trade dress/packaging of the Plaintiff with the date of first use are as follows:-

S.No.	Trade Dress/ Packaging/ Device Mark	Date of first use
1.		The use of this packaging/ substantially similar packaging by Herbalife in India dates back to at least 1999.
2.		The use of this packaging/ substantially similar packaging by Herbalife in India dates back to at least 1999.



S.No.	Trade Dress/ Packaging/ Device Mark	Date of first use
3.		The use of this packaging/ substantially similar packaging by Herbalife dates back to at least 2017.
4.		The use of this packaging/ substantially similar packaging by Herbalife in India dates back to at least 1999.

S.No.	Trade Dress/ Packaging/ Device Mark	Date of first use
5.		The use of this packaging/ substantially similar packaging in India dates back to at least 2017.
6.		The use of this packaging/ substantially similar packaging by Herbalife in India dates back to at least 2020.



S.No.	Trade Dress/ Packaging/ Device Mark	Date of first use
7.		The use of this packaging/ substantially similar packaging by Herbalife in India dates back to at least 2006.
8.		The use of this packaging/ substantially similar packaging by Herbalife in India dates back to at least 2022.
9.		The use of this packaging/ substantially similar packaging by Herbalife in India dates back to at least 2010.

S.No.	Trade Dress/ Packaging/ Device Mark	Date of first use
10.		The use of this packaging/ substantially similar packaging by Herbalife dates back to at least 2010.
11.		The use of this packaging/ substantially similar packaging by Herbalife in India dates back to at least 2010.



24. It is stated that Plaintiff's products under Herbalife trademarks and trade dress are recognised as the foremost active and lifestyle nutrition brand in the world. An illustrative list of awards and recognitions received in recent years is furnished in paragraph 21 of the plaint. Plaintiff is the owner of domain names www.herbalife.com and www.myherbalife.com and the websites operated on these domains are accessible widely in India and provide extensive information to the public about Plaintiff's Herbalife business and products.

25. It is stated that Plaintiff's success and immense reputation and goodwill in the Herbalife trademarks and trade dress are indicated from: suggested retail of Herbalife products to the tune of USD 8.6 billion in 2025 and USD 5 billion net sales in 2024; consumption of approximately 4.3 million Herbalife Formula 1 shakes; approximately 63,000 nutrition clubs worldwide; Instagram profile @herbalife with 2 million followers and @herbalifeindia official, <https://www.instagram.com/herbalifeindiaofficial/> with 397,000 followers; 771,000 subscribers worldwide on Plaintiff's YouTube channel being most of them being from India; and Facebook and X Accounts, which are extremely popular in India. Plaintiff is also a sponsor of over 150 world-class athletes and teams from over 40 sports across over 35 countries.

26. It is stated that Plaintiff has expended huge sums of money towards advertisement and promotion of Herbalife products in India and the SKU wise turnover from 2019 to 2025 as also the advertisement and promotional expenses, duly certified by the Chartered Accountant, clearly show that the Herbalife marks are associated in the minds of members of public and trade with the Plaintiff alone. The details are as follows:-



A. Summary of Selected SKU-wise Turnover

Financial Year	Aggregate Turnover of Selected SKUs (INR)
FY 2025	57,03,68,98,527
FY 2024	51,41,17,97,213
FY 2023	48,62,46,02,359
FY 2022	39,91,02,76,085
FY 2021	27,57,46,69,852
FY 2020	18,23,15,96,379
FY 2019	17,75,14,50,531

B. Advertising & Promotion Expenses

Financial Year	Advertising & Promotion Expenses (INR)
FY 2025	2,08,15,24,685
FY 2024	2,09,26,79,156
FY 2023	2,86,01,48,978
FY 2022	1,96,55,22,329
FY 2021	87,69,84,006
FY 2020	65,06,30,374
FY 2019	85,64,99,734

27. It is stated that Defendant No. 1 is the proprietor and Managing Director of Defendant No. 2 and both sell the impugned products under deceptively similar 'Herbawish' and 'Herbawish Nutrition' marks as also three-leaf logo and similar trade dress of the Plaintiff. Defendants No.3 and 4 appear to be manufacturers while Defendant Nos. 5 to 7 are e-commerce marketplaces, on which impugned products are sold. Defendant No. 7 operates the website <https://www.medprobiotech.in/>, on which impugned products are listed and information is provided about Defendants No.1 and 2.

28. It is stated that in late February, 2026 Plaintiff learnt that near knockoff/lookalike products of the Plaintiff's Herbalife products were being sold by Defendants No.1 and 2. The domain name medprobiotech.in is registered in the name of Defendant No.2 and the 'Terms of Use' referred to



therein redirect to <https://www.indiamart.com/terms-of-use.html> and therefore, it appears that Defendant Nos. 1, 2 and 7 collectively operate the website <https://www.medprobiotech.in/>.

29. It is stated that further inquiry revealed that Defendant No.1 has obtained registrations of the following marks:-

S.No.	Trademark	Trademark No.	Class	Date of Application	User Claim	Status
1.	HERBAWISH NUTRITION	5678472	5	11.11.2022	Proposed to be used	Registered
2.	HERBAWISH	5160293	5	05.10.2021	12.09.2020	Registered
3.	HERBAWISH	5617277	3	20.09.2022	Proposed to be used	Registered
4.	HERBAWISH	5617278	30	20.09.2022	Proposed to be used	Registered

S.No.	Trademark	Trademark No.	Class	Date of Application	User Claim	Status
1.	HERBAFIT	5463306	5	26.05.2022	Proposed to be used	Registered
2.	HERBAMARK	5641203	5	09.10.2022	Proposed to be used	Registered

30. It is stated that on 25.05.2026, after advance service of rectification petitions filed by the Plaintiff impugning Defendants No.1 and 2's trademarks registrations bearing Nos.5160293, 5617277, 5617278 and 5678472, Defendants approached the Plaintiff for settlement and in the e-mail stated that they valued intellectual property rights and had no intent to cause confusion in the market or misrepresent any association with the Plaintiff and were willing to explore the possibility of amicable settlement. Plaintiff shared a settlement proposal on 27.06.2026, however, nothing materialized and on 11.07.2026, Plaintiff pointed out to Defendants No.1 and 2 the various online listings that were still available using the impugned trademarks. Despite confirming that Defendants had stopped production of



products carrying the three-leaf device, the infringing listings continued.

31. Learned counsel for the Plaintiff submits that a comparison of the impugned products and trademarks and trade dress of the Plaintiff and Defendants No.1 and 2 shows that the impugned marks Herbawish and Herbawish Nutrition are visually and phonetically deceptively similar to Plaintiff's registered and prior used Herbalife trademarks. The impugned trade dress is nearly identical/deceptively similar with the marks Herbawish and Herbawish Nutrition included therein, the three-leaf device, colour combination, arrangement of the label, white container and background, green band with the white font at the top, followed by the product brand and details in smaller font etc. In fact, Defendants No.1 and 2 have also copied one of Plaintiff's product name 'Personalized Protein Powder' with a three-leaf device. Several e-commerce websites referred to in paragraph 42 of the plaint show that the impugned products continue to be listed on them.

32. It is urged that use of the deceptively similar marks as also the three-leaf device by the Defendants, amounts to infringement under Section 29 of the Trade Marks Act, 1999 ('1999 Act') since the marks/device are being used for identical products and consumer base and trade channels being common, there is every likelihood of confusion amongst members of public. Use of the impugned mark Herbawish as a part of domain www.herbawish.com also violates the statutory and common law rights of the Plaintiff in the asserted trademarks. Evidently, Defendants No.1 and 2 have adopted deceptively similar marks/domain name/logo/trade dress/packaging for identical products to come as close as possible to the Plaintiff so as to misrepresent to the public that they have some association or commercial nexus with the Plaintiff and encash on its immense goodwill and



reputation, which is causing irreparable harm and injury to the Plaintiff and amounts to passing off.

33. Having heard learned counsel for the Plaintiff, I am of the view that Plaintiff has made out a *prima facie* case for grant of *ex parte* ad interim injunction against Defendants No.1 and 2. Balance of convenience lies in favour of the Plaintiff and it is likely to suffer irreparable harm in case the interim injunction, as prayed for, is not granted.

34. Plaintiff is the registered proprietor of the Herbalife trademarks, which include its variants as also the three-leaf device and its variants. By virtue of registrations, Plaintiff has the statutory right to exclusively use the marks as also restrain third parties from infringing them. Admittedly, the Herbawish marks of Defendant No.1 are registered and rectification proceedings are pending. Defendants No.1 and 2 are using the impugned marks Herbawish/Herbawish Nutrition and their variants which include label devices. The impugned marks are deceptively similar to Plaintiff's Herbalife trademarks and the deception is enhanced owing to common prefix 'Herba'. The rival products being identical and consumer base and trade channels being common, there is every likelihood of confusion amongst members of public. *Prima facie*, the intent is to sail close to the Plaintiff and misrepresent to the consumers that Defendants No.1 and 2's products i.e. protein powder, shake mixes, supplements etc. and identical to Plaintiff's products, have an association or nexus with the Plaintiff so as to encash on its formidable goodwill and reputation for unlawful monetary gains. This is causing irreparable damage to the Plaintiff and *prima facie* amounts to passing off.

35. The dishonest intent of Defendants No.1 and 2 to indicate an



association with the Plaintiff is further evident from the fact that for identical products, they have also copied the packaging/trade dress which incorporates Herbawish trademarks, three-leaf device, colour combination, white container background, green band, placements etc., owing to which the rival products are difficult to distinguish by a person of average intelligence and imperfect recollection. The three-leaf device is a registered trademark of the Plaintiff and its use by Defendants No.1 and 2 *prima facie* amounts to infringement under Section 29 of the 1999 Act. The products in question are nutritional products and in the event, the impugned products are of inferior quality, it can pose health risks to the public and also tarnish Plaintiff's image and dilute the Herbalife trademarks of the Plaintiff. Comparative table showing the rival products with the rival trade dresses is as follows:-

S.No.	The impugned product	The Plaintiff's prior Trade Marks and Trade Dress	Infringement and passing off
1.		The Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trade Dress:  The Plaintiff's prior "Herbalife Trademarks" registered in India in at least classes 3, 5, 25, 29, 30, 32, 35, 41. The Plaintiff's prior trademark "Formula 1".	The impugned packaging has used the Impugned Mark "Herbawish" along with the word "Nutrition" which together are visually, phonetically, and structurally deceptively similar, and nearly identical, to the Plaintiff's registered and prior Herbalife Trademarks including "Herbalife Nutrition" It has also copied the Plaintiff's trademark "Formula 1" and the three leaf device. The arrangement of the label, white container and background, green band with white font at the top, followed by the product brand, and details in



			smaller font, image on the bottom right and flavour on the left towards the bottom are illustrative of the intentional deceptive similarity of the impugned trade marks and trade dress with the Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trademarks and Trade Dress.
2.		The Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trade Dress:	The impugned packaging has used the Impugned Mark "Herbawish" along with the word "Nutrition" which together are visually, phonetically, and structurally deceptively similar, and nearly identical, to the Plaintiff's registered and prior Herbalife Trademarks including "Herbalife Nutrition" It has also copied the Plaintiff's trademark
		 The Plaintiff's prior "Herbalife Trademarks" registered in India in at least classes 3, 5, 25, 29, 30, 32, 35, 41. The Plaintiff's prior trademark "Formula 1".	"Formula 1" and the three leaf device. The arrangement of the label, white container and background, green band with white font at the top, followed by the product brand, and details in smaller font, image on the bottom right and flavour on the left towards the bottom are illustrative of the intentional deceptive similarity of the impugned trade marks and trade dress with the Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trademarks and Trade Dress.
3.		The Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trade Dress:	The impugned packaging has used the Impugned Mark "Herbawish" along



		 	with the word "Nutrition" which together are visually, phonetically, and structurally deceptively similar, and nearly identical, to the Plaintiff's registered and prior Herbalife Trademarks including "Herbalife Nutrition" It has also copied the Plaintiff's product name "Personalised Protein Powder" and the three leaf device. The arrangement of the label, white container and background, green band with white font at the top, followed by the product brand, and details in smaller font, image on the bottom right are illustrative of the intentional deceptive similarity of the
			impugned trade marks and trade dress with the Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trademarks and Trade Dress.
4.		The Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trade Dress:  The Plaintiff's prior trademark "Shakemate". The Plaintiff's prior "Herbalife Trademarks" registered in India in at least classes 3, 5, 25, 29, 30, 32, 35, 41.	The impugned packaging has used the Impugned Mark "Herbawish" along with the word "Nutrition" which together are visually, phonetically, and structurally deceptively similar, and nearly identical, to the Plaintiff's registered and prior Herbalife Trademarks including "Herbalife Nutrition" It has also copied the Plaintiff's trademark "Shakemate" by using the deceptively similar mark Shakemilk, and the three leaf device.



			The arrangement of the label, white container and background, green band with white font at the top, followed by the product brand, and details in smaller font, image at the bottom are illustrative of the intentional deceptive similarity of the impugned trade marks and trade dress with the Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trademarks and Trade Dress.
5.		The Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trade Dress: The Plaintiff's prior "Herbalife Trademarks"	The impugned packaging has used the Impugned Mark "Herbawish" along with the word "Nutrition" which together are visually, phonetically, and structurally deceptively similar, and nearly identical, to the Plaintiff's registered and prior Herbalife Trademarks including "Herbalife Nutrition"
		registered in India in at least classes 3, 5, 25, 29, 30, 32, 35, 41. The Plaintiff's prior trademark "Afresh".	It has also copied the Plaintiff's trademark "Afresh" and the three leaf device. The arrangement of the label, white container and background, green band with white font at the top, followed by the product brand, and details in smaller font, identical colours and flavour are illustrative of the intentional deceptive similarity of the impugned trade marks and trade dress with the Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trademarks and Trade Dress.



6.		The Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trade Dress:	The impugned packaging has used the Impugned Mark "Herbawish" along with the word "Nutrition" which together are visually, phonetically,
		The Plaintiff's prior "Herbalife Trademarks" registered in India in at least classes 3, 5, 25, 29, 30, 32, 35, 41. The Plaintiff's prior trademark "Afresh".	and structurally deceptively similar, and nearly identical, to the Plaintiff's registered and prior Herbalife Trademarks including "Herbalife Nutrition" It has also copied the Plaintiff's trademark "Afresh" and the three leaf device. The arrangement of the label, white container and background, green band with white font at the top, followed by the product brand, and details in smaller font, identical colours and flavour are illustrative of the intentional deceptive similarity of the impugned trade marks and trade dress with the Plaintiff's prior Herbalife
			Trademarks and Trade Dress.



7.		The Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trade Dress:  The Plaintiff's prior "Herbalife Trademarks" registered in India in at least classes 3, 5, 25, 29, 30, 32, 35, 41.	The impugned packaging has used the Impugned Mark "Herbawish" along with the word "Nutrition" which together are visually, phonetically, and structurally deceptively similar, and nearly identical, to the Plaintiff's registered and prior Herbalife Trademarks including "Herbalife Nutrition". It has also copied the Plaintiff's product name "Cell Activator" and the three leaf device. The arrangement of the label, white container and background, green and white combination for the trademark at the top, followed by the identical
			product brand, and details in smaller font are illustrative of the intentional deceptive similarity of the impugned trade marks and trade dress with the Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trademarks and Trade Dress.
8.		The Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trade Dress:  The Plaintiff's prior "Herbalife Trademarks" registered in India in at least classes 3, 5, 25, 29, 30, 32, 35, 41.	The impugned packaging has used the Impugned Mark "Herbawish" along with the word "Nutrition" which together are visually, phonetically, and structurally deceptively similar, and nearly identical, to the Plaintiff's registered and prior Herbalife Trademarks including "Herbalife Nutrition". It has also copied the Plaintiff's product name "Cell Activator" and the three leaf device.



		The Plaintiff's prior trademark Cell-U-Loss.	The arrangement of the label, white container and background, green band with white font at the top, followed by the identical product brand, and details in smaller font are illustrative of the intentional deceptive similarity of the impugned trade marks and trade dress with the Plaintiff's prior Herbalife Trademarks and Trade Dress.
--	--	--	---

36. Learned counsel appearing for Defendant No.7/IndiaMART InterMESH Ltd., on instructions, submits that the legend appearing at the foot of the impugned website <https://www.medprobiotech.in/> 'Developed and Managed by Indiamart' refers only to technical assistance provided by IndiaMART for the website. All content, product descriptions and use of any trademarks on the website belong to the seller. IndiaMART's role is limited to the technical backend, which is why the website carries this line, but it only reflects technical management and IndiaMART has no control over content or trademark's use.

37. Accordingly, till the next date of hearing, Defendants No.1 to 4 and all others acting on their behalf are restrained from using impugned marks

Herbawish, Herbawish Nutrition, , , and/or any other mark deceptively similar to Plaintiff's Herbalife trademarks, amounting to passing off and are also restrained from using the



three-leaf logos , in respect of the impugned products, amounting to infringement of trademarks. Defendants No.1 to 4 are further restrained from using impugned trade dress/packaging and the mark Herbawish as part of their domain name.

38. Defendant No. 5/Amazon Seller Service Private Limited shall take down URLs at Serial Nos. 1 to 5 in the table in **ANNEXURE-A** to this order. Defendant No.6/Flipkart Internet Private Limited is directed to take down URLs at Serial Nos. 6 to 14 and Defendant No. 7 shall take down URLs at Serial Nos. 15 to 18 in the table in **ANNEXURE-A** to this order. The needful shall be done within 36 hours from the date of receipt of copy of this order and compliance affidavits shall be filed within six weeks thereafter.

39. Defendants No. 5 to 7 shall disclose the available details of Defendants No. 1 to 4 including their names, addresses, phone numbers, email addresses, billing and payment details relevant to the impugned products, KYC details as also any other identity related documents, in a sealed cover/password protected document, to the Plaintiff within six weeks from today.

40. Plaintiff shall comply with the provisions of Order XXXIX Rule 3 CPC within a period of two weeks from today.

JYOTI SINGH, J

SEPTEMBER 15, 2026

S.Sharma



Annexure-A

Serial No.	URL	Defendant
1.	https://amzn.in/d/08dtiqF2	Defendant No. 5, Amazon Seller Services Private Limited
2.	https://amzn.in/d/0f3mNNVx	
3.	https://amzn.in/d/0hK0orml	
4.	https://amzn.in/d/03UAumMt	
5.	https://amzn.in/d/02dflZog	
6.	https://www.flipkart.com/herbawish-personalized-protein-powder-formula-whey-blend-shake/p/itm260f77b5b59ab	Defendant No. 6, Flipkart Internet Private Limited
7.	https://www.flipkart.com/herbawish-nutritional-slim-meal-shake-formula-1-weight-control-management-protein/p/itmd9168fecdb1c	
8.	https://www.flipkart.com/herbawish-afresh-energy-drink-mix-green-tea-extract-natural-caffeine-sports/p/itmbf903178d5dec	
9.	https://www.flipkart.com/herbawish-afresh-energy-drink-mix-green-tea-extract-caffeine-powder-sports/p/itm7875f753a04bc	
10.	https://www.flipkart.com/herbawish-formula-1-nutritional-shake-meal-replacement-weight-control-management/p/itmaada6713bb214	
11.	https://www.flipkart.com/herbawish-liver-detox-milk-thistle-n-acetyl-cysteine-fatty-pigmentation/p/itm9aea102269533?pid=	



12.	https://www.flipkart.com/herbawish-adk-vitamin-a-d3-k2-boost-immunity-weak-bones-arthritis/p/itm9aea102269533?pid=	
13.	https://www.flipkart.com/herbawish-betaine-hcl-pepsin-digestive-enzymes-healthy-gut-digestion-gas-acidity/p/itm5685562f21a2e?pid=VSLGGFSHV8AZEREF&lid=	
14.	https://www.flipkart.com/herbawish-hair-vitamin-complete-vitamins-minerals-strengthen-scalp/p/itm714b8240d822	
15.	https://www.indiamart.com/medprobiotech/herbawish-products.html?srsltid=AfmBOoq73-xchMJ1UjBW3PL83REc6ZRk6i5_XgJEoCF92RI8Jww03D3j#2853609323162	
16.	https://www.indiamart.com/medprobiotech/herbawish-products.html?srsltid=AfmBOoq73-xchMJ1UjBW3PL83REc6ZRk6i5_XgJEoCF92RI8Jww03D	Defendant No. 7, IndiaMART InterMESH Ltd.
17.	https://www.indiamart.com/medprobiotech/herbawish-products.html?srsltid=AfmBOoqf2jGc_l8Jk9QlyQGhwrKsdlh7ifC6mO5pPeibDWbxOFqzG1B9c	
18.	https://www.medprobiotech.in/herbawish-products.html	