



\$~2

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

CNR No. DLHC010448362026

+ **W.P.(C)-IPD 41/2026 & CM 241/2026**

MAKEMYTRIP INDIA LIMITED

.....Petitioner

Through: Mr. Sidhant Goel, Mr. Deepankar Mishra, Mr. Kartikeya Tandon and Mr. Ragit Ghosh, Advs.

versus

THE REGISTRAR OF TRADE MARKS

.....Respondent

Through: Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Rishay Raj and Ms. Nikita Singh, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS MAHAJAN

ORDER

%

21.09.2026

1. The present petition has been filed seeking following relief:

“a) Issue a writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, directing the Respondent to forthwith declare the Petitioner's Subject Mark “MakeMyTrip” as a well-known trade mark by allowing the Petitioner's Well-Known Mark Application bearing reference number 816498;

b) Issue a writ of mandamus, or any other appropriate writ, order or direction, directing the Respondent to declare the Petitioner's Subject Mark “MakeMyTrip” as a well-known trade mark by disposing of the Petitioner's Well-Known Mark Application bearing reference number 816498 within such time-bound period as this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper;

c) Award costs of the present proceedings in favour of the



Petitioner and against the Respondent; and”

2. Mr. Deepankar Mishra, learned counsel appearing on behalf of petitioner, at the outset, submits that he is confining the present petition only to prayer (b) of the prayer clause.
3. It is stated in the petition that the petitioner had filed an application bearing reference no. 816498 (Well-Known Mark Application) on 13.01.2020 under Rule 124 of the Trade Marks Rules, 2017 (hereinafter Rules), seeking declaration of the trade mark “MakeMyTrip” as a well-known trademark.
4. The said application was accepted by the respondent for publication and accordingly it was published in the Trade Marks Journal no. 2110 dated 26.06.2023, inviting oppositions under Rule 124(5) of the Rules.
5. The sole opposition to the said application, filed by Easy Trip Planners Ltd. on 21.07.2023, was withdrawn on 08.04.2025 pursuant to a settlement between the parties.
6. He submits that despite the withdrawal of the only opposition and in the absence of any other legal or procedural impediment, the respondent ought to have taken a final decision on the petitioner’s application for declaring the it’s trademark as a well-known mark.
7. He submits that the application of the plaintiff has remained pending for six years without any justification.
8. In view of the above, issue notice.
9. Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC who is present in Court has been asked to accept notice. Let Ms. Raman seek instructions.
10. If any counter affidavit is to be filed, same may be filed within a



period of three weeks.

11. Rejoinder, if any, be filed before the next date.
12. List on 03.11.2026.

VIKAS MAHAJAN, J

SEPTEMBER 21, 2026

nsa/VB