

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

SPECIAL LEAVE PETITION (CIVIL) Diary No(s). 39836/2026

[Arising out of impugned judgment and order dated 09-04-2026 in MUA227 No. 5333/2025 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow Bench]

M/S UTTAR PRADESH RAJYA VIDYUT UTPADAN
NIGAM LIMITED (UPRVUNL) & ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

M/S ADANI ENTERPRISES LIMITED & ANR.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 252720/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS, IA No. 252718/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 252717/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No. 252716/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

WITH

Diary No(s). 39989/2026 (XI)

(IA No. 253346/2026 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN REFILING / CURING THE DEFECTS, IA No. 253344/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 253343/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No. 253342/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 01-09-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE V. MOHANA

For Petitioner(s) : Mr. K.M. Nataraj, A.S.G.
Mr. Sharath Narayan Nambiar, AOR
Mr. Vatsal Joshi, Adv.
Mr. Vinayak Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Sarthak Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. Suvin R. Menon, Adv.
Mr. Gurusimran Singh Narula, Adv.

For Respondent(s) : Mr. Shyam Divan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Vikram Nankani, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Mahesh Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Arshit Anand, Adv.
Ms. Geetika Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Shaishir Divatia, Adv.

Mr. E. C. Agrawala, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Delay condoned.
2. We have heard Mr. K.M. Nataraj, learned Additional Solicitor General of India on behalf of the petitioner(s) and Mr. Shyam Divan, learned Senior Counsel on behalf of the first respondent, who is on Caveat.
3. In light of the categorical findings returned by the High Court in Paragraph 62 of the Impugned Judgment, which are duly supported by the averments made in the pleadings and the documents on record, we are satisfied that the impugned judgment calls for no interference. However, we deem it appropriate to make it clear that the claim of the decree-holder will firstly be satisfied through the assets of the judgment debtor, namely UCM Coal Company Limited, and it is only if the claim remains unsatisfied that the execution proceedings against the petitioner(s) shall proceed.
4. With this clarification and modification, the Special Leave Petition stands disposed of with pending application(s), if any.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI DILEEP KUMAR)
DY. REGISTRAR