

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s). 13322/2026
[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-07-2026
in WPA No. 16258/2026 passed by the High Court at Calcutta]

ALL INDIA TRINAMOOL CONGRESS & ANR.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 211638/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT)

SLP(Crl.) No.14691/2026

(IA No. 231950/2026 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED
JUDGMENT

IA No. 232631/2026 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL
DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

Date : 11-08-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M. SUNDRESH

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRASANNA B. VARALE

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Kapil Sibal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Nipun Saxena, Adv.
Mr. Adit Pujari, Adv.
Ms. Aparajita Jamwal, Adv.
Mr. Agnish Basu, Adv.
Mr. Vipul Vedant, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Roy, Adv.
Mr. Gourav Bose, Adv.
Ms. Anisha Soni, Adv.
Mr. Dev Nagar, Adv.
Mr. B.d. Rao Kundan, Adv.
Mr. Vinayak Mangal, Adv.
Mr. Anirudh Gotety, Adv.
Ms. Vidhi Pankaj Thaker, AOR

Mr. K. Parameshwar, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Ana Upadhyay, Adv.
Ms. Manya Hasija, Adv.
Mr. T.illayarasu, Adv.
Ms. Anupriya Poddar, Adv.
Mr. Saurabh Guha, Adv.
Mr. Shubhansh Patel, Adv.
Mr. Rajaswi Vatsa, Adv.

Mr. Rishi Tutu, Adv.
Mr. Srijan Sahu, Adv.
Mr. Siddhesh Shirish Kotwal, AOR

For Respondent(s) :Dr. Abhishek Manu Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Dr. Menaka Guruswamy, Adv.
Mr. Nipun Saxena, Adv.
Mr. Agnish Basu, Adv.
Mr. Vipul Vedant, Adv.
Mr. Aditya Roy, Adv.
Mr. Gourav Bose, Adv.
Ms. Anisha Soni, Adv.
Mr. Dev Nagar, Adv.
Mr. B.d. Rao Kundan, Adv.
Mr. Vinayak Mangal, Adv.
Mr. Anirudh Gotety, Adv.
Ms. Vidhi Pankaj Thaker, AOR
Mr. Siddharth Seem, Adv.

Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG(N/P)
Mr. Suryaprakash V. Raju, ASG
Mr. Annam Venkatesh, Adv.
Mr. Arkaj Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Sairica S. Raju, Adv.
Mr. Arvind Kumar Sharma, Aor

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. We have heard the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the parties.
2. We have considered SLP(Crl.) No.13322/2026 only on a limited plea, which we record hereunder:

"1. We have heard the learned Senior Counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned A.S.G. appearing for the respondents.

2. We have suggested the learned A.S.G. as to whether the Special Officer appointed by the High Court for facilitating the operation of the three bank accounts of the petitioner No.1 for incurring day-to-day/monthly expenditure of the petitioner No.1, can be appointed in this case also.

3. We further made it clear that we are not going into the merits of the case as the main matter is pending consideration before the High Court.

4. The learned A.S.G. seeks time to take instructions.

5. List the matter on 11.08.2026."

3. Upon perusing the record, including the impugned order which is the subject matter of SLP(Crl.) No.14691/2026, we are satisfied with the order passed by the High Court in WPA No.14081/2026 as it has passed a balanced order.
4. We are also conscious of the fact that the main writ petitions are pending consideration in WPA No. 16258/2026 and WPA No.14081/2026 before the High Court. Thus, any discussion on merits will have a bearing on these pending writ petitions. As the interim order passed by the High Court in WPA No.14081/2026 takes care of the interest of the parties, we are not inclined to interfere in the impugned order passed by the High Court.
5. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioner(s) in SLP(Crl.) No. 14691/2026 to put-forth their objections before the Special Officer.
6. The Special Leave Petitions are disposed of, accordingly.
7. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(RADHA SHARMA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(NIKITA SINGH)
COURT MASTER (NSH)