



\$~17

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**
+ **ITA 575/2026**

PR. COMMISSIONER OF INCOME TAX , DELHI-7

.....Appellant

Through: Mr. Puneet Rai, SSC with Mr. Ashvini
Kr. & Mr. Rishabh Nangia, JSCs &
Ms. Nancy Jain, Adv.

versus

ROHDE AND SCHWARZ INDIA PVT. LTD.Respondent

Through: Mr. Vishal Kalra & Ms. Snigdha
Gautam, Advs.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH MEHTA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA

ORDER

% **24.07.2026**

CM APPL. 47000/2026

1. Exemption allowed, subject to all just exceptions.
2. The application is disposed of.

CM APPL. 47001/2026 (delay in re-filing)

3. This is an application filed by the appellant seeking condonation of 14 days' delay in re-filing the appeal.
4. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and the delay of 14 days in re-filing the appeal stands condoned.

ITA 575/2026

5. By way of the present appeals preferred under Section 260A of the



Income Tax Act, 1961 (*hereinafter referred to as 'the Act of 1961'*), the appellant/Department has challenged the order dated 21.01.2026 passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, Delhi Bench "I", New Delhi (*hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal'*) in ITA Nos.5461/Del/2024, 5951/Del/2024, 5999/Del/2024, 6040/Del/2024 & 5979/Del/2024.

6. The appeal is admitted on the following substantial question of law:

“Whether, the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal was legally correct in holding that the limitation period prescribed under Section 153 of the Income Tax Act 1961, governs the passing of final assessment order under Section 144C of the Act, despite Section 144C being a self-contained code with specific timelines and overriding effect by virtue of its non obstante clause?”

7. Issue notice. Mr. Vishal Kalra, learned counsel, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent.

8. List this case for hearing on 15.09.2026.

DINESH MEHTA, J

RAJNEESH KUMAR GUPTA, J

JULY 24, 2026

kk