



\$~51

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ **CS(COMM) 678/2024**

MERRYVALE LIMITED

.....Plaintiff

Through: Mr. Moazzam Khan, Mr. Prince Kumar, Ms. Muskan Sharma and Mr. Shaurya Vardhan, Advocates.

versus

JOHN DOE AND ORS

.....Defendants

Through: Ms. Nidhi Raman, CGSC with Mr. Rishay Raj and Ms. Nikita Singh, Advocates for D-9 and 10.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JYOTI SINGH

ORDER

% **21.07.2026**

I.A. 17202/2026 (u/S 151 CPC)

1. This application is filed on behalf of the Plaintiff seeking exemption from advance service of application under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 CPC upon proposed Defendants No. 41 to 54.

2. For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed, exempting the Plaintiff from advance service upon proposed Defendants No. 41 to 54.

3. Application stands disposed of.

I.A. 17201/2026 (u/O XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 CPC)

4. This application is filed on behalf of the Plaintiff seeking interim injunction against Defendants No. 41 to 54 and directions against Defendants No. 55 to 57.



5. Learned counsel for the Plaintiff submits that Defendants No. 41 to 54 are rogue websites and operate under the trademark BETWAY or trade names and domain names deceptively similar to Plaintiff's registered mark BETWAY and are engaged in acts of misrepresentation leading to association between their services and the Plaintiff in the minds of unaware and unsuspecting users. The fraudulent domain names incorporate the mark BETWAY of the Plaintiff and the websites aim at duping general public as they offer betting services on various sports and games. The fraudulent websites have similar graphics, layout and seek personal details of the registrants and that Defendants No. 41 to 54 are registered with Defendants No. 55 to 57, who are the Domain Name Registrars (DNRs). It is further submitted that this Court has already enjoined some of the rogue websites vide orders dated 12.08.2024, 28.08.2024, 20.02.2025 and 22.09.2025 and the injunction order be extended to Defendants No. 41 to 54 as they are similarly infringing Plaintiff's trademark and defrauding the public. It is also pointed out that GoDaddy.com, LLC was erstwhile Defendant No. 7 in the suit but was deleted vide order dated 13.08.2025 after recording the undertaking that it shall abide by the directions of this Court.

6. Heard on the application.

7. Defendants No. 41 to 57 have been impleaded as parties vide order dated 29.05.2026. Plaintiff has made detailed averments in the application to show the infringing activities of Defendants No. 41 to 54, which is *prima facie* leading to infringement of Plaintiff's registered mark BETWAY as also resulting in misrepresentation to the general public. Plaintiff has made out a *prima facie* case for grant of interim injunction against Defendants No. 41 to 54. Balance of convenience lies in favour of the Plaintiff and if the



interim injunction, as sought, is not granted, irreparable harm and injury shall be caused to the Plaintiff.

8. Accordingly, Defendants No. 41 to 54 as well as John Doe, including all others acting on their behalf are restrained from directly or indirectly using the mark BETWAY and/or any mark, which is deceptively similar thereto in relation to their business, products and/or services, either as a trademark or as part of their trade name, trade style, corporate name, store name, domain name, web address, email address, social media handle and/or in any other manner so as to infringe the registered mark BETWAY and/or passing off.

9. Defendants No. 7, 8, 29, 40, GoDaddy.com, LLC and Defendants No. 55 to 57 (DNRs) are directed to lock and suspend the domain names mentioned in **Annexure-A** to this order within a period of 36 hours from the date of receipt of this order and also to provide all information of the domain registrants like names, phone numbers, addresses and payment details pertaining to the person(s)/entity(ies), holding the domain names mentioned in **Annexure-A**, within a period of six weeks from today to the Plaintiff.

10. Defendants No. 9/MeitY and 10/DoT are directed to issue necessary notifications to all telecom and internet service providers registered under them in India, within 36 hours from the date of receipt of this order, to block/delete/remove access to websites/URLs mentioned in **Annexure-B** to this order.

11. Application stands disposed of.

JYOTI SINGH, J

JULY 21, 2026/ S.Sharma



ANNEXURE-A

S.No	Domain	DNR
1.	www.betway-app.in	Wild West Domains, LLC (Defendant No. 55)
2.	www.bdbetways.com.in	Dynadot LLC (Defendant No. 29)
3.	www.betwayindiaaviator.org	Hostinger Operations, UAB (Defendant No. 56)
4.	www.betwayindia.club	Dynadot Inc. (Defendant No. 29)
5.	www.betwaycasinoindia.com	NameCheap Inc. (Defendant No. 7)
6.	www.betwayyoujialt.com	GoDaddy.com LLC
7.	www.betways.org	Spaceship, Inc. (Defendant No. 30)
8.	www.waybet777.com	GoDaddy.com LLC
9.	www.betwayy.com	GoDaddy.com LLC
10.	www.betwaysa.club	Dynadot Inc (Defendant No. 29)
11.	www.betwayzs.com	Namemart Limited (Defendant No. 57)
12.	www.bet4way.com	PDR Ltd. d/b/a PublicDomainRegistry.com (Defendant No. 40)
13.	www.bestbettingindia.com/betway.html	GoDaddy.com LLC
14.	www.betwayonlinecricketid.com	NameCheap, Inc. (Defendant No. 7)



ANNEXURE-B

S.No	Domains	Infringing Defendants
1.	www.betway-app.in	Defendant No. 41
2.	www.bdbetways.com.in	Defendant No. 42
3.	www.betwayindiaaviator.org	Defendant No. 43
4.	www.betwayindia.club	Defendant No. 44
5.	www.betwaycasinoindia.com	Defendant No. 45
6.	www.betwayyoujialt.com	Defendant No. 46
7.	www.betways.org	Defendant No. 47
8.	www.waybet777.org	Defendant No. 48
9.	www.betwayy.com	Defendant No. 49
10.	www.betwaysa.club	Defendant No. 50
11.	www.betwayzs.com	Defendant No. 51
12.	www.bet4way.com	Defendant No. 52
13.	www.bestbettingindia.com/betway.html	Defendant No. 53
14.	www.betwayonlinecricketid.com	Defendant No. 54