



WEB COPY

OA Nos. 390 & 391 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 01-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE K.KUMARESH BABU

OA Nos. 390 & 391 of 2026
and A.Nos.2005 & 2006 of 2026
in C.S.(COMM DIV).No.125 of 2026

1. M/s. CADD CENTRE TRAINING SERVICES PRIVATE LIMITED AND ANOTHER
Represented by its Authorised Signatory Mr. R. Parthasarathy No. 25, Dr. Radhakrishnan Salai, Mylapore, Chennai 600 004, Tamil Nadu, India
2. R Parthasarathy
Having Office at No. 25, Dr. Radhakrishnan Salai, Mylapore, Chennai 600 004, Tamil Nadu, India.

..Applicant(s)

Vs

1. M/s. CADD Centre Software Systems Private Limited
represented by its Directors,
No.8, C2, Premier Graha Lakshmi Apartments,
Ramachandra Road, Mylapore,
Chennai-600 004.
2. Saravanan Karaiadiselman
Additional Director, M/s. CADD Centre Software Systems Private Limited
No. 8, C2, Premier Graha Lakshmi Apartments, Ramachandra Road,
Mylapore, Chennai 600 004
3. Krishnan Viswanathan
Director, M/s. CADD Centre Software Systems Private Limited
No. 8, C2, Premier Graha Lakshmi Apartments, Ramachandra Road,
Mylapore, Chennai 600 004.



4. Hariharan Vishalakshi

Director, M/s. CADD Centre Software Systems

Private Limited

No. 8, C2, Premier Graha Lakshmi


Apartments, Ramachandra Road,

Mylapore, Chennai 600 004.

..Respondent(s)

PRAYER in OA Nos. 390 of 2026:- Original Application filed to grant an order of ad interim injunction restraining the Respondents, themselves, or through or in conjunction with their holding companies, subsidiary companies, associate concerns, sister concerns, group companies, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, joint venture entities, partners or any other entities directly or indirectly connected with or controlled by them and their directors, officers, successors in business, servants, agents, distributors, dealers, stockiest, wholesalers, retailers, shopkeepers, representatives, assigns and all such other persons claiming through or under them from in any manner using or otherwise dealing with the trademark/logo CADD CENTRE or the word CADD Centre or any other mark/word which is deceptively similar to the Appicant's registered trademark amounting to infringement of the Applicants registered trademark "CADD CENTRE" or any other manner whatsoever, pending disposal of the suit.

PRAYER in OA Nos. 391 of 2026:- Original Application filed to grant an order of ad interim injunction restraining the Respondents, themselves, or through or in conjunction with their holding companies, subsidiary companies, associate concerns, sister concerns, group companies, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, joint venture entities, partners or any other entities directly or indirectly connected with or controlled by them and their directors, officers, successors in business, servants, agents, distributors, dealers, stockiest,



wholesalers, retailers, shopkeepers, representatives, assigns and all such other persons from passing off and enabling others to pass off respondents' business/ services as and for applicants services by use of mark/label/CADD CENTRE or word/ terminology "CADD CENTRE" name and logo across digital platforms, documents, communications and business operations or any name/ mark similar to applicants' mark/ label "CADD CENTRE" or any other manner whatsoever, pending disposal of the suit and pass such further orders.

In both the Applications:-

For Applicant(s): Mr.P.H.Aravindh Pandian
Senior Counsel
for Mr.Hari Shankar Mani

For Respondent(s): Ms.S.Jeba Karthika

COMMON ORDER

O.A.No.390 of 2026 has been filed to grant ad interim injunction restraining the persons claiming through or under them from in any manner using or otherwise dealing with the trademark/logo CADD CENTRE or the word CADD Centre or any other mark/word which is deceptively similar to the Applicant's registered trademark amounting to infringement of the Applicants registered trademark "CADD CENTRE" or any other manner whatsoever, pending disposal of the suit.


2. O.A.No.391 of 2026 has been filed to grant ad interim injunction restraining the persons from passing off and enabling others to pass off respondents' business/ services as and for applicants services by use of



mark/label/CADD CENTRE or word/ terminology “CADD CENTRE” name and logo across digital platforms, documents, communications and business operations or any name/ mark similar to applicants’ mark/ label “CADD CENTRE” or any other manner whatsoever, pending disposal of the suit and pass such further orders.

3. Learned counsel for the first respondent undertakes to file Vakalath and also placed on record before this Court that he had stopped using the alleged trade mark of the applicants/ plaintiffs and would submit that it can only be restricted to logo and not the use of the name.

4. The first applicant had been carrying on the business from 1989 under the Registered trade mark as CADD Centre. The learned counsel for the first respondent would submit that the business of the respondents is totally different from that of the applicants and that they would only supply software system and they do not carry on any business on instruction in software related subjects, however this Court is of the view that the first applicant and the first defendant are in the business of software engineering. Considering the fact that the applicant being in a business imparting education in software systems and the first respondent being a supplier of software systems under the same name would definitely create a confusion in the mind of the public by carrying on the business in the very same name, this Court grants an order of interim injunction as prayed for.



OA Nos. 390 & 391 of 2



5. List the applications for hearing on 22.06.2026.

WEB COPY

GBA

01-06-2026



WEB COPY

OA Nos. 390 & 391 of 2



K.KUMARESH BABU, J.

GBA

OA Nos. 390 & 391 of 2026
and A.Nos.2005 & 2006 of 2026
in C.S.(COMM DIV).No.125 of 2026

01-06-2026