



\$~75

* **IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI**

+ CS(OS) 511/2025 & I.A. No. 13895/2026

SADHVI DEEPIKA BHARTI & ANR.Plaintiffs

Through: Mr. Raghav Awasthi, Ms. Simran
Brar and Ms. Ruhi Ansari, Advs.
Mob: 8826877415

versus

SATLOK ASHRAM FOUNDATION & ORS.Defendants

Through: Mr. Girish Bhardwaj, Adv. for D-1 &
2 (Through VC)

Ms. Mamta Rani Jha, Ms. Shruttima
Ehersa and Ms. Aishwarya
Debadarshini, Advs. for D-6

Mob: 7978530049

Email: shruttima@inttladvocare.com

Ms. Ameer Rana, Ms. Radhika Roy
and Mr. Debdiya Saha, Advs. for D-7

Mob: 9007586154

Email: amee.rana@amsshardul.com

CORAM:

HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE MINI PUSHKARNA

ORDER

18.05.2026

%

I.A. 13895/2026

1. The present application has been filed on behalf of the plaintiffs under Order XXXIX Rules 1 and 2, read with Section 151 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 ("CPC"), seeking *ad interim ex-parte* injunction.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the plaintiffs submits that the present suit essentially seeks permanent and mandatory injunction, damages, compensation and other consequential reliefs against the defendants, *inter*



alia, for creation, publication, circulation and dissemination of morphed, misleading and defamatory video/content using the original discourse and content of the plaintiffs.

3. It is submitted that earlier, *vide* order dated 01st August, 2025, this Court had restrained the defendant nos. 1 to 5 from creating, circulating, and hosting morphed videos of the plaintiffs, and defendant nos. 6 and 7 were directed to take down the infringing links. Thereafter, defendant no. 6 was again directed by way of order dated 26th September, 2025 to takedown additional morphed and misleading videos.

4. It is further submitted that despite the aforesaid injunction orders having been passed by this Court, another infringing and defamatory video has now come to the knowledge of the plaintiffs, details of which have been set out in *Para 6* of the present application.

5. Learned counsel for the plaintiffs submits that the said video unlawfully uses and morphs the discourse/video content of plaintiff no. 1 and falsely portrays plaintiff no. 1 to be associated with and endorsing defendant no. 1 and defendant no. 1 organisation.

6. He submits that the offending video contains superimposed images, inserted visuals and manipulated presentation, wherein images of defendant no. 2 are repeatedly displayed alongside the discourse and visual content of plaintiff no. 1, in a manner deliberately intended to mislead viewers and create a false impression of association, endorsement and support.

7. It is submitted that the said video is fabricated, malicious and violative of the copyright vested in the plaintiffs in respect of their original content and discourse.

8. Thus, it is submitted that similar orders be passed by this Court, as



passed earlier, *vide* order dated 01st August, 2025.

9. Issue notice. Notice is accepted by learned counsels appearing for defendant nos. 1, 2, 6 and 7.

10. Learned counsel appearing for defendant nos. 1 and 2 submits that they have no concern with the said video, and that the infringing video, *qua* which the present application has been filed, has not been uploaded by defendant nos. 1 and 2.

11. The aforesaid statement is taken note of.

12. At this stage, learned counsel appearing for defendant no. 6, i.e., Google LLC/YouTube, submits that no dynamic injunction be passed by this Court, since the fact of any infringing content would have to be first adjudicated by this Court.

13. Having heard learned counsels for the parties, this Court is of the view that a *prima facie* case has been established by the plaintiffs. Further, balance of convenience lies in favour of the plaintiffs and irreparable loss shall be caused to the plaintiffs, if interim relief is not granted.

14. Accordingly, till the next date of hearing, it is directed as follows:

- i. Defendant no. 6 is directed to take down/block the link, i.e., <https://youtu.be/9pNGH7LQFig?si=673hJqIrCAhjd8u>
- ii. Defendant no. 6 is directed to disclose to the plainiffs the basic subscriber/account information and details of the uploader/owner of the aforesaid channel/video.

15. With the aforesaid directions, the present application is accordingly disposed of.

CS(OS) 511/2025

16. Learned counsel appearing for defendant no. 7 submits that defendant



no. 7, i.e., Meta Platform, has already complied with the directions of this Court, as passed *vide* order dated 01st August, 2025.

17. Learned counsel appearing for the plaintiffs confirms the said fact.

18. Accordingly, considering the aforesaid, defendant no. 7 is deleted from the Array of Parties.

19. Let amended Memo of Parties be filed by the plaintiffs, before the next date of hearing.

20. List on the date already fixed, i.e., 24th September, 2026.

MINI PUSHKARNA, J

MAY 18, 2026/SK